Progress Report

# Using predictive analytics to decompose site index

CAFS 20.83

Jason CrossUniversity of WashingtonEric TurnblomUniversity of Washington

Jason Cross, Presenter





CAFS 2021 Fall IAB Meeting



## **Project Overview**

## Summary of data sources

Project	Description	Plots
RFNRP I	Unthinned natural stands DF	89
RFNRP II	Thinned natural stands DF	39
RFNRP III	Young, thinned, and low-site DF	22
<b>RFNRP IV</b>	PCT, low-stocked planted DF	26
SMC I	Multiple thinnings of young DF	91
SMC II	Thinning middle aged DF	12
SMC III	Planted spacing trials of DF	127

<sup>1</sup> RFNRP: Regional Forest Nutrition Research Project <sup>2</sup> SMC: Stand Management Cooperative





Fitted pairs of rate and shape parameters with a constrained asymptote Model form: H40 ~ asymptote \* (1 - exp(rate \* (BHAGE\*\*shape)); n = 406



#### Fitted and Predicted Shape Parameter Values by Data Source





Fitted Shape Parameter

CAFS 2021 Fall IAB Meeting

#### Observed and Predicted heights by Data Source



R-sq: 70%; RMSE: 27 ft; MAE: 21 ft; MAPE: 53%

Observed H40

Predicted (top) and Observed (bottom) Heights by Breast-Height Age



CAFS 2021 Fall IAB Meeting

## **Future Plans**

- 1. Observed relationship between *rate* and *shape* parameters indicate a uniqueness and independence to *shape*. Relationship is robust across age, location, spacing, and natural vs. planted. The largest shape values are fitted on the older, dense stands.
- 2. Include soil data attributes in RHS predictor set for both rate and shape. Shape in particular is a number that exists "in the wild" and is likely a complex function of many variables and their interactions.
- 3. Exploration of machine-learning techniques to relate (*rate*, *shape*) to various static, periodic, and dynamic predictors.
- 4. Online tool for mapping of base layers (predictors) and facilitating site index predictions in development through partnership with Precision Forestry Cooperative at University of Washington.



